## Finding The Right Abstraction for a Modular Router: An Axiomatic Basis

S. Keshav and M. Karsten \* University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON Canada



\*Joint work with Sanjiva Prasad, IIT Delhi and Omer Beg, UW

# Waterloo?

Where is that?













#### Local companies School of CS

Seagram RIM/BlackBerry MapleSoft OpenText ManuLife 77 faculty ~2000 undergrads ~300 grads





## Finding The Right Abstraction for a Modular Router: An Axiomatic Basis

S. Keshav and M. Karsten \* University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON Canada



\*Joint work with Sanjiva Prasad, IIT Delhi and Omer Beg, UW

















#### Reality



- Many layers: Socket, Session, TCP, MPLS, IP, PPP, VLAN, ATM, MAC
- Middleboxes: Firewalls, NATs, shapers, load balancers, DNS redirectors...
- Cross-layered designs

## Yet... it works!



#### Axioms



- -> = 'leads to'
- Direct communication

m@output port -> m@input port

• Simple switching

if p is an an ASE's switching table with a translation p', pm@input port -> p'm@output port

• Transitivity

if m@input port of ASE A, and tables at A, B, C, ..., K are set up properly, m will reach output port of K

#### Concepts



#### • Name

if pm@input port of ASE A, and tables at A, B, C, ..., K are set up properly, m will reach output port of K, and p is its name

• Address

if two ASEs send a message with a given name to the same destination, the name is also an address

• Name scope

set of ASEs where a name leads to the same destination

• Routing

process of maintaining consistent forwarding within a particular naming scope



#### Data plane primitives

- push
- рор
- swap
- send
- receive
- сору



## Control plane primitives

- Essentially manipulate the state table
- update the state table
- get label from a control message header
- set label in a control message header
- + a few other minor details



#### Universal forwarding loop

```
process(ase prev, message msg) {
1
2
      bool setup = (ctl(msg) == SETUP
 3
                || prev in this->SETUP_ASE);
4
      name lin, lout;
       if (setup) lin = lout = getlabel(msg);
 5
      name n = pop(msg);
 6
       {<ase, name>} S = lookup(prev, n);
 7
8
       if (!S && this->RESOLVE_ASE) {
9
         resolve(n); // wait for S update
10
         S = lookup(prev, n);
11
       }
12
       for each <ase, name> s_i in S {
         if (s_i.ase == this) { // local
13
           if (ctl(msg) == RLOOKUP) {
14
             respond(prev, msg, n, s_i.name);
15
           } else if (ctl(msg) == RUPDATE) {
16
17
             rupdate(msg);
18
           } else {
             // other local control activity
19
20
           ŀ
         } else { // forward
21
22
           message outmsg = copy(msg);
23
           push(outmsg, s_i.name);
24
           if (setup) {
             if (VC) lin = local_name(prev, n);
25
26
             update(s_i.ase, lin, prev, lout);
27
             setlabel(outmsg, lin);
28
           }
29
           send(s_i.ase, outmsg);
30
         }
31
       }
32
    }
```



#### What can we do with this?

- Can build any forwarding system as a composition of specializations of the universal forwarding loop
- Can formally verify the correctness of any router using Hoare logic





